

Leisure Demand

- ▶ How much leisure will you demand per day?

5/15

Leisure Demand, con't

- ▶ Solve to find
 - ▶ Leisure demanded $H =$
 - ▶ Consumption demanded $C =$
- ▶ How does leisure demand depend on price of leisure (ie wage)?

6/15

Income and Substitution Effects

Motivation

- ▶ We just derived that for Cobb-Douglas utility function, hours you work does not depend on your wage
- ▶ Suppose you have a job that pays \$10/hr and you decide to work 8 hours/day
- ▶ Then suppose your wage goes up to \$12/hr
 - ▶ Do you continue to work 8 hours/day?
- ▶ What if your wage goes up to \$100/hr? \$100,000/hr?

7/15

8/15

Decomposing Demand

- ▶ Suppose the price of good 1 goes down
- ▶ Two effects on consumption decision
 1. Substitution effect
 - ▶ Have give up less of good 2 to get same amount of good 1
 - ▶ Causes more consumption of good 1 and less consumption of good 2 (holding purchasing power fixed)
 2. Income effect
 - ▶ Lower price of good 1 means more purchasing power overall
 - ▶ Causes more consumption of both goods (assuming goods are normal)

9 / 15

Graphical Decomposition

- ▶ Start with prices p_1, p_2 , budget m , and demand $X = (x_1, x_2)$
- ▶ Want to find out what new demand will be at when price of good 1 changes to $p'_1 < p_1$
- ▶ First, pivot budget curve around X until has slope $-\frac{p'_1}{p_2}$
 - ▶ Note this will require lowering income to m'
 - ▶ Optimal bundle will be at some point Y
- ▶ Second, shift budget curve such until it is back to original income level
 - ▶ That is, vertical intercept back to $\frac{m}{p_2}$
 - ▶ Optimal bundle will be at some point Z
- ▶ The move from X to Y is the *substitution effect*
- ▶ The move from Y to Z is the *income effect*

10 / 15

Graphical Decomposition

Substitution Effect

- ▶ This is the “pivot” part
- ▶ Change relative prices, and also change income so that consumer is able to purchase original bundle
- ▶ How much should adjusted m' income be?
 - ▶ x_1, x_2 is affordable at prices p'_1, p_2 with income m' : $p'_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 = m'$
 - ▶ x_1, x_2 is affordable at prices p_1, p_2 with income m : $p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 = m$
 - ▶ Together these equations imply $m' - p'_1 x_1 = m - p_1 x_1$
 - ▶ If we define $\Delta m = x_1(p'_1 - p_1) = x_1 \Delta p_1$, this implies $m' = m + \Delta m$
 - ▶ Note that Δm has same sign as Δp_1
- ▶ We can then define the substitution effect as

$$\Delta x_1^s = x_1(p'_1, m') - x_1(p_1, m)$$

11 / 15

12 / 15

Sign of the Substitution Effect

- ▶ Consider a decrease of p_1
- ▶ Will the substitution effect increase or decrease demand of good 1?

- ▶ In general, we have $\frac{\Delta x_1^s}{\Delta p_1} \leq 0$
 - ▶ In calculus terms, that is $\frac{\partial x_1^s}{\partial p_1} \leq 0$

13 / 15

Income Effect

- ▶ This is the “shift” part
- ▶ To move from intermediary consumption Y to final consumption Z, imagine raising the income from m' back to m (keeping price same)

- ▶ We can define the income effect as

$$\Delta x_1^i = x_1(p'_1, m) - x_1(p'_1, m')$$

- ▶ What sign will income effect take?

14 / 15

Total Change in Demand

- ▶ Note that the total change in demand is

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x_1 &= x_1(p'_1, m) - x_1(p_1, m) \\ &= x_1(p'_1, m') - x_1(p_1, m) + x_1(p'_1, m) - x_1(p'_1, m') \\ &= \Delta x_1^s + \Delta x_1^i\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ This is one form of the *Slutsky identity* or *Slutsky equation*

15 / 15